

ARTICLE REVIEW FORM

Name of the Reviewer*:

Date of the Review:

Title of the Article:

I. Please answer the following questions using the scale provided.

	Questions	Scale
1.	Does the title clearly express the content of the article?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
2.	Is the purpose of the article stated clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
3.	Are the study methods described clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
4.	Does the article introduce a new idea that might stimulate others to do related research?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
5.	Does the article offer a valuable contribution to a certain area of research?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good

*The Reviewer's name will not be disclosed to the Author(s)

		<input type="checkbox"/> very good
6.	Are the results clearly presented?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
7.	Are the conclusions accurate and supported by the content?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
8.	Is the article correctly written (in terms of grammar, style and register)?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
9.	Is the literature used in support of research sufficiently comprehensive and current?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good
10.	Are the references correctly indicated in the article?	<input type="checkbox"/> poor <input type="checkbox"/> acceptable but needs some improvements <input type="checkbox"/> good <input type="checkbox"/> very good

II. Specific Comments and Suggestions (use as many lines as needed):

.....
.....

III. Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- Publish, no significant alterations suggested.
- Publish, but suggest changes to the article as specified in this review.
- Reject, but encourage the author to try a major revision and a second peer review.
- Reject, do not encourage a rewrite.

*The Reviewer's name will not be disclosed to the Author(s)

*The Reviewer's name will not be disclosed to the Author(s)